Wave-Obstacle interaction

David Lannes IMB, Université de Bordeaux et CNRS

Journés Tarantola/Séminaire, Paris VI, 2019

David Lannes, U. Bordeaux et CNRS

Wave-Obstacle interaction

Journées Tarantola/Séminaire 1 / 23

Motivations



The Hydrodynamics of Wave Energy Convertors, Bordeaux, 18-20 juin 2019 https://hywec2.sciencesconf.org/ Simulation et Optimisation pour les Energies Marines Renouvelables, Roscoff 2-5 juillet 2019, https://emrsim2019.sciencesconf.org/

Notations



Floating device: ship or wave energy convertor

Notation

If f is defined on \mathbb{R}^d , we write

$$f_{\mathrm{e}} = f_{|_{\mathcal{E}}}$$
 and $f_{\mathrm{i}} = f_{|_{\mathcal{I}}}$

David Lannes, U. Bordeaux et CNRS

Basic equations

In the fluid domain Ω_t

$$\partial_t \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla_{X,z} \mathbf{U} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla_{X,z} P - g \mathbf{e}_z$$

div $\mathbf{U} = 0$,
curl $\mathbf{U} = 0$

At the surface

$$\begin{array}{ll} \forall X \in \mathcal{E}(t), \\ \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, & \partial_{t} \zeta - \underline{U} \cdot N = 0 \\ \end{array} \quad \text{with } N = \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla \zeta \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{array}$$

At the bottom

$$U_b \cdot N_b = 0$$
 with $N_b = \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla b \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

David Lannes, U. Bordeaux et CNRS

Interior equations and coupling

Constraint in the interior domain

The surface of the fluid coincides with the wetted portion of the body

$$\zeta_{\rm i} = \zeta_{\rm w}$$

Coupling conditions on $\Gamma(t) := \partial \mathcal{I}(t) = \partial \mathcal{E}(t)$

Continuity of the surface elevation and of the surface pressure

$$\zeta_{\mathrm{e}}(t,\cdot) = \zeta_{\mathrm{i}}(t,\cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{P}_{\mathrm{e}}(t,\cdot) = \underline{P}_{\mathrm{i}}(t,\cdot) \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma(t)$$

Coupling with the solid equations: Newton's equations

$$egin{aligned} m\dot{U}_G&=-mg\mathbf{e}z+\int_{I(t)}(P_\mathrm{i}-P_\mathrm{atm})N_\mathrm{w},\ &rac{d}{dt}(\mathcal{I}\omega)&=\int_{I(t)}(P_\mathrm{i}-P_\mathrm{atm})\mathbf{r}_G imes N_\mathrm{w}. \end{aligned}$$

→ (Partial) analysis of this problem: D. L., ANN. OF PDE, 2017

The one dimensional shallow water equations



Joint work with T. Iguchi

David Lannes, U. Bordeaux et CNRS

Wave-Obstacle interaction

The equations

In the exterior domain

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t H + \partial_x Q = 0, \\ \partial_t Q + \partial_x (\frac{1}{H}Q^2 + \frac{1}{2}gH^2) = \frac{1}{\rho}H\partial_x \underline{P}_{\text{atm}} = 0 \end{cases}$$

In the interior domain

$$egin{aligned} &\partial_x \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{i}} = -\partial_t \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{i}}, \ &\partial_t \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{i}} + \partial_x (rac{1}{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}^2 + rac{1}{2} g \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{i}}^2) = -rac{1}{
ho} \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{i}} \partial_x \underline{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathrm{i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Coupling conditions at $x = x_{\pm}(t)$

$$H(t,\cdot) = H_{\mathrm{i}}(t,\cdot), \qquad Q(t,\cdot) = Q_{\mathrm{i}}(t,\cdot), \quad \text{ and } \quad \underline{P}_{\mathrm{atm}}(t,\cdot) = \underline{P}_{\mathrm{i}}(t,\cdot).$$

Coupling with the solid equations: the case of a fixed solid

$$\partial_t H_{\rm i} = 0 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad Q_{\rm i}(t,x) = q_{\rm i}(t)$$

David Lannes, U. Bordeaux et CNRS

Wave-Obstacle interaction

Reduction of the problem

• Interior equations

$$\begin{cases} Q(t,x) = q_{i}, \\ \partial_{t}Q + \partial_{x}(\frac{1}{H}Q^{2} + \frac{1}{2}gH^{2}) = -\frac{1}{\rho}H\partial_{x}\underline{P}_{i} \end{cases}$$

with $\underline{P}_{i}(t, x_{\pm}(t)) = P_{\text{atm}}$ \rightsquigarrow Solvability condition for \underline{P}_{i} ,

$$\partial_t q_{\mathbf{i}} = F(q_{\mathbf{i}}, x_+(t), x_-(t))$$

• The problem is reduced to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t H + \partial_x Q = 0, & \text{in } E(t) \\ \partial_t Q + \partial_x (\frac{1}{H}Q^2 + \frac{1}{2}gH^2) = 0 & \\ \begin{cases} Q(t, x_{\pm}(t)) = q_i(t), \\ \partial_t q_i = F(q_i, x_{-}, x_{+}). & \\ \end{cases} \text{ (boundary condition)} \\ H(t, x_{\pm}(t)) = H_i(t, x_{\pm}(t)) & \text{(free boundary equation)} \end{cases}$$

A new kind of hyperbolic free boundary problem Consider a 2×2 quasilinear hyperbolic system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U + A(U) \partial_x U = 0 & \text{ in } (\underline{x}(t), +\infty) \\ U = U_{\mathbf{i}} & \text{ on } [0, T] \times \{x = \underline{x}(t), \\ U = U^{\mathrm{in}} & \text{ on } \{t = 0\} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \end{cases}$$

with $\underline{x}(0) = 0$ $\rightsquigarrow U = U_i$ is a boundary condition AND a free boundary equation

Remark

For the stability of shocks for conservation laws

 $\partial_t U + \partial_x(f(U)) = 0$

the Rankine-Hugoniot condition also plays this double role

$$\underline{\dot{x}}\llbracket U \rrbracket = \llbracket f(U) \rrbracket$$

Analysis of the boundary condition/free boundary equation

$$U(t,\underline{x}(t)) = U_{i}(t,\underline{x}(t))$$

→ Time differentiation

$$\partial_t U + \underline{\dot{x}} \partial_x U = \partial_t U_{\mathrm{i}} + \underline{\dot{x}} \partial_x U_{\mathrm{i}}$$

Boundary condition

$$(\partial_t U - \partial_t U_i) \cdot (\partial_x U - \partial_x U_i)^{\perp} = 0$$

• Free boundary evolution

$$\dot{\underline{x}} = -\frac{(\partial_t U - \partial_t U_i) \cdot (\partial_x U - \partial_x U_i)}{|\partial_x U - \partial_x U_i|^2}$$

Differences with stability of shocks $\underline{\dot{x}}[\![U]\!] = [\![f(U)]\!]$

The boundary condition is fully nonlinear

2 The evolution equations has a derivative loss

David Lannes, U. Bordeaux et CNRS

Wave-Obstacle interaction

Main result

Theorem (T. Iguchi, D. L.)

Let $m \ge 2$, data compatible of order m - 1. There exists a unique solution $\underline{x} \in H^m(0, T)$ and $U \in C([0, T]; H^m(\underline{x}, \infty))$, with $U_{|_{\underline{x}=\underline{x}}} \in H^m(0, T)$.

- Fix the domain with a diffeomorphism φ → u = U ∘ φ ∂_tu + A(u, ∂φ)∂_xu = 0 with ∂_tφ = F(∂u)
- Kreiss symmetrizer \rightsquigarrow Full regularity of the trace $\frac{1}{2}\partial_t(Su, u) + (M_0u, u) - (S\mathcal{A}(u, \partial\varphi)u \cdot u)|_{x=0} = 0$
- L^2 estimates on $u_j = \partial_t^j u$
 - $\partial_t u_j + \mathcal{A}(u, \partial \varphi) \partial_x u_j + B(u, \partial_x u) \partial \partial_t^j \varphi + C_{j+1} = l.o.t.$ \rightsquigarrow Needs a second order Alinhac's unknown
 - L^2 -estimates on " $\partial_t^j u$ " in terms of " $\partial_t^j u|_{t=0}$ "
 - ► Control of " $\partial_t^j u_{|_{t=0}}$ " in H^{m-j} using the equation: $\partial_t U_{|_{t=0}} = -A(U^{\text{in}})\partial_x U^{\text{in}}$, etc.
- Control of "space derivatives" in terms of "time derivatives": $\partial_x U = -A(U)^{-1}\partial_t U$, etc.

Including dispersive effects using a Boussinesq model



Fixed object with vertical sidewalls at $x_{\pm} = \pm R$

JOINT WORK WITH DIDIER BRESCH AND GUY MÉTIVIER

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta + \partial_x q = 0, \\ (1 - \delta^2 \partial_x^2) \partial_t q + \varepsilon \partial_x (\frac{1}{h_0} q^2) + h \partial_x \zeta = -h \partial_x \underline{P} \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} \underline{P} = P_{\text{atm}} & \text{ on } \mathcal{E} = (-\infty, -R) \cup (R, \infty), \\ \zeta = \zeta_{\text{w}}(x) & \text{ on } \mathcal{I} = (-R, R), \end{cases}$$

and one coupling condition

$$q(t,\pm R)=q_{\rm i}(t).$$

No continuity of the surface elevation → no equation on x_± needed!
No continuity of the pressure → an information is missing!

Boundary condition on the pressure

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta + \partial_x q = 0, \\ (1 - \delta^2 \partial_x^2) \partial_t q + \varepsilon \partial_x (\frac{1}{h_0} q^2) + h \partial_x \zeta = -h \partial_x \underline{P} \end{cases}$$

with $\zeta = \zeta_w(x)$ on (x_-, x_+) . For a an object with flat bottom,

$$\stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} \begin{cases} -\partial_x (h_i \partial_x P_i) = 0 & \text{in } (x_-, x_+), \\ P_i(x_{\pm}) = P_{\text{atm}} + P_{\text{cor}}(x_{\pm}) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{i} &= - \llbracket \boldsymbol{P}_{i} \rrbracket \qquad \alpha = \int_{x_{-}}^{x_{+}} \frac{1}{h_{i}} \\ &= - \llbracket \boldsymbol{P}_{cor} \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

 \rightsquigarrow What is $P_{\rm cor}$???

Energy considerations

The Boussinesq system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta + \partial_x q = 0, \\ (1 - \delta^2 \partial_x^2) \partial_t q + \varepsilon \partial_x (\frac{1}{h_0} q^2) + h \partial_x \zeta = -h \partial_x \underline{P} \end{cases}$$

has a local conservation law,

$$\partial_t \mathfrak{e} + \partial_x \mathfrak{F} = \mathbf{0}$$

with

$$\mathfrak{e} = \mathfrak{e}(\zeta, q, \partial_{x}q)$$

 $\mathfrak{F} = q\mathfrak{F}_{0}(\zeta, q, \partial_{x}\partial_{t}q)$

 \rightsquigarrow We should have conservation of the total energy

$$E_{\text{tot}} = \int_{(-\infty, -R) \cup (R,\infty)} \mathfrak{e} + \int_{(-R,R)} \mathfrak{e}$$
$$\mathfrak{e} = \frac{1}{2}\zeta^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{6}\zeta^3 + \frac{1}{2h_0}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\delta^2(\partial_x q)^2$$
$$\longrightarrow \qquad [\![\mathfrak{F}]\!] + \alpha q_i \dot{q}_i = 0.$$

But we have

 $\mathfrak{F} = q\mathfrak{F}_0$ $q(t, \pm R) = q_i(t)$ $\alpha \dot{q}_i = - \llbracket P_{cor} \rrbracket$

$$\rightsquigarrow \qquad -\llbracket P_{\rm cor} \rrbracket = -\llbracket \mathfrak{F}_0 \rrbracket$$

We deduce

$$\boxed{-\alpha \dot{q}_{i} = \left[\!\left[\zeta + \varepsilon \frac{1}{2} \zeta^{2} - \delta^{2} \partial_{x} \partial_{t} q\right]\!\right]}$$

A transmission problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta + \partial_x q = 0, \\ (1 - \delta^2 \partial_x^2) \partial_t q + \varepsilon \partial_x (\frac{1}{h_0} q^2) + h \partial_x \zeta = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{if } |x| > R$$

with transmission conditions

•
$$\llbracket q \rrbracket = 0$$

• $-\delta^2 \partial_t \llbracket \partial_x q \rrbracket + \llbracket \zeta + \varepsilon \frac{1}{2} \zeta^2 \rrbracket = -\alpha \dot{q}_i$ with $q_i(t) = q(t, x_{\pm})$.
• Change of variable $\theta = \zeta + \varepsilon \frac{1}{2} \zeta^2$,

$$\begin{cases} (1 + \varepsilon c(\theta))\partial_t \theta + \partial_x q = 0, \\ (1 - \delta^2 \partial_x^2)\partial_t q + \varepsilon \partial_x (\frac{1}{h_0}q^2) + \partial_x \theta = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{if } |x| > R$$

with transmission conditions

Remark

No result for the IBVP for Boussinesq systems.

Mathematical analysis: local existence

$$\begin{cases} (1 + \varepsilon c'(\theta))\partial_t \theta + \partial_x q = 0, \\ (1 - \delta^2 \partial_x^2)\partial_t q + \partial_x (\theta + \varepsilon q^2) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{if } |x| > R$$

with initial condition $(heta^{ ext{in}}, q^{ ext{in}})$ and transmission conditions

Proposition

For $n \ge 0$, let $(\theta^{\text{in}}, q^{\text{in}}) \in H^n \times H^{n+1}$ satisfy $[\![q^{\text{in}}]\!] = 0$ and inf $\{1 + \varepsilon c'(\theta^{\text{in}})\} > 0$. For $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ and $\delta > 0$, there is T > 0 and a unique solution in $C^1([0, T[; H^n \times H^{n+1})]$. Moreover, one has the blow up criterion

$$\lim \sup_{\mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}^*} \left\| \theta, q, \partial_{\mathsf{X}} q, 1/(1 + \varepsilon c'(\theta)) \right\|_{L^{\infty}([0,\mathcal{T}] \times \mathcal{E})} = +\infty.$$
(1)

Proof: Reduction to an ODE

David Lannes, U. Bordeaux et CNRS

$$\begin{cases} (1 + \varepsilon c'(\theta))\partial_t \theta + \partial_x q = 0, \\ (1 - \delta^2 \partial_x^2)\partial_t q + \underbrace{\partial_x (\theta + \varepsilon q^2)}_{:=\Gamma} = 0 \quad \text{if } |x| > R \end{cases}$$

• Denote R_0 the inverse of $(1 - \delta^2 \partial_x^2)$ with Dirichlet BC at $x = \pm R$,

$$\partial_t q = -R_0 \Gamma + \dot{q}^i \exp(-\frac{1}{\delta}|x|_R)$$

•
$$\partial_t \partial_x q(\pm R) = -\partial_x R_0 \Gamma \mp \frac{1}{\delta} \dot{q}^i$$

• $\partial_t [\![\partial_x q]\!] = -[\![\partial_x R_0 \Gamma]\!] - \frac{2}{\delta} \dot{q}^i$

• Use second transmission condition

$$\dot{q}^{i} = \frac{1}{\alpha + 2\delta} \left(\delta^{2} \llbracket -\partial_{x} R_{0} \Gamma \rrbracket - \llbracket \theta \rrbracket \right)$$

• We have an ODE on $H^n \times H^{n+1}$ and Cauchy-Lipschitz.

Hyperbolic versus dispersive IBVP

Dispersive

$$\partial_t U = \mathcal{L}(U) := \begin{pmatrix} -\Phi \\ -\mathcal{R}(\Gamma, \llbracket \theta \rrbracket) \end{pmatrix} \qquad U = (\theta, q)$$

with $\Phi = \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon c'(\theta)} \partial_x q$, $\Gamma = \partial_x (\theta + \varepsilon q^2)$.
and $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma, \rho) = \mathcal{R}_0 \Gamma + \frac{1}{\alpha + 2\delta} \left(\delta^2 \llbracket \partial_x \mathcal{R}_0 \Gamma \rrbracket + \rho \right) e^{-\frac{1}{\delta} |x|_R}$.

→ ODE

and

~ Smooth solution without compatibility conditions

 \rightarrow BC $[\![q]\!] = 0$ and $-\delta^2 \partial_t [\![\partial_x q]\!] + [\![\theta]\!] = -\alpha \dot{q}_i$ propagated by the eqs

Hyperbolic

$$\partial_t U = \mathcal{L}_0(U) := \begin{pmatrix} -\Phi \\ -\Gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

→ Opposite behavior ! ↔ Role of the Dispersive Boundary Layer

David Lannes, U. Bordeaux et CNRS

Energy estimates

$$\begin{cases} (1 + \varepsilon c(\underline{\theta}))\partial_t \theta + \partial_x q = 0, \\ (1 - \delta^2 \partial_x^2)\partial_t q + 2\varepsilon \underline{q} \partial_x(q) + \partial_x \theta = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{if } |x| > R$$

GOAL

Uniform energy estimates with respect to δ over a time ${\it O}(1/arepsilon)$

$${\mathcal E}^{
m tot} = \int_{\mathcal E} ig[rac{1}{2} (1+c'(heta)) heta^2 + rac{1}{2} q^2 + rac{1}{2} \delta^2 (\partial_x q)^2 ig] + rac{1}{2} lpha (q^{
m i})^2$$

Proposition

If
$$\underline{U} = (\underline{\theta}, \underline{q}) \in W^{1,\infty}$$
 and $(1 + \varepsilon c'(\underline{\theta})) \ge c_0 > 0$, then

$$E^{\mathrm{tot}}(U)(t) \leq e^{\varepsilon \gamma t} E^{\mathrm{tot}}(U^{\mathrm{in}})$$

David Lannes, U. Bordeaux et CNRS

Wave-Obstacle interaction

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Higher order energy estimates} \\ \begin{cases} (1 + \varepsilon c((\underline{\theta}))\partial_t \theta + \partial_x q = 0, \\ (1 - \delta^2 \partial_x^2)\partial_t q + 2\varepsilon \underline{q} \partial_x(q) + \partial_x \theta = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{if } |x| > R \end{array}$$

- L²-energy estimates
- L^2 -energy estimates on the time derivatives $U_j = \partial_t^j U$ <u>Problem 1</u> How to control $U_{j|_{t=0}}$ in terms of U^{in} ?

$$\partial_t q = -R_0 \partial_x \left(\theta + \varepsilon q^2 \right) + \dot{q}^i \exp(-rac{1}{\delta} |x|_R)$$

→ Control at t = 0: Both terms are singular in H^n as $\delta \to 0$ → Need compatibility conditions to control the dispersive boundary layer

• Use eq. to control space derivatives in terms of time derivatives $\rightarrow \partial_x q \text{ OK}$ <u>Problem 2</u> How to control $\partial_x \theta$?

David Lannes, U. Bordeaux et CNRS

Wave-Obstacle interactio

Main result

Theorem (D. Bresch, D. L., G. Métivier)

Initial data in H^m (m large enough)+ compatibility conditions. Then there exists T > 0 such that for all $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $\delta^2 = O(\varepsilon)$, there is a unique solution $U \in C^k([0, \frac{T}{\varepsilon}]; H^{m-k})$ with uniform energy estimate.

Remark

The compatibility conditions degenerate into the hyperbolic compatibility conditions if $\delta = 0$.

<u>Ref</u>:

- E. Bocchi, Floating objects in shallow water with a radial symmetry, 2018
- U. Bosi, A.P. Engsig-Karup, C. Eskilsson, and M. Ricchiuto, *An efficient unified spectral element Boussinesq model for a point absorber*, 2018
- D. Bresch, D. L., G. Métivier, Waves interacting with a partially immersed obstacle in the Boussinesq regime, 2018
- E. Godlewski, M. Parisot, J. Sainte-Marie, F. Wahl, *Congested shallow water model: roof modelling in free surface flow*, 2018.
- T. Iguchi, D. L., Hyperbolic free-boundary problems and applications, 2018
- D. L. On the dynamics of floating structures, 2017
- D. L. , L. Weynans, *Generating boundary conditions for a Boussinesq system*, 2018.
- D. Maity, J. San Martin, T. Takahashi, M. Tucsnak, *Analysis of simplified model of rigid structure floating in a viscous fluid*, 2018
- C. Perrin, An overview on congestion phenomena in fluid equations, 2019.

Thanks for your attention